

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,138	02/11/2005	Pierrick Girard	3952-74	2457
23117	7590 11/08/2006		EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			PALO, FRANCIS T	
ARLINGTON		OOK	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3644	
				_

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>
	10/524,138	GIRARD ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del>-</del> ,
	Francis T. Palo	3644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI: .136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 (2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matt	· •	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-39</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-39</u> are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac  Applicant may not request that any objection to the  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to edrawing(s) be held in abeyarction is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in A Ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1)	4) ☐ Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	)/Mail Date formal Patent Application	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

 This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

**Group I**: claim(s) 21 and 24-26, drawn to a process of making.

group-IOS; a reinforcing grid on one or both surfaces of a fibrous support.

group-IFP; a reinforcing grid attached to the fixing points of a fibrous support.

**group-IES**; a reinforcing grid **entangled** to the surface of a fibrous support.

Group II: claim(s) 21-23 and 27-39 drawn to a product (fibrous support).

The inventions listed as **Groups-I** and **II** do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

While the combination of the two categories is permitted under PCT practice, only one invention is permitted in each category, and the Group-I category contains at least three inventions directed to products having reinforcing means optionally located, which could result in or be used as other products than as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/524,138 Page 3

Art Unit: 3644

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, **applicant is required**, in reply to this action, **to elect a single invention** to which the claims must be restricted; specifically, one of the three process **groups IOS, IFP or IES** is permissible in combination with the product of **Group-II**.

2. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows and are deemed to correspond in the following manner:

## Group-II (Product):

Species-IIGBP, claim-21;

Or

Species-IIGVT, claim-22

And

Species-IISTF, claims 27 and 28

Or

Species-IIS37, claim-37

Or,

Species-IIS38, claim-38,

Or

Species-IIS39, claim-39.

Page 4

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added.

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: currently claim-21 is generic.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The various characterizations effect different products with different uses.

A telephone call was not made to applicants representative on 11/04/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, due to the complexity of the restriction requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse.

To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse.

If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/524,138

Art Unit: 3644

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Francis T. Palo whose telephone number is 571-272-6907. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Tu.,Th.-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 571-272-7045. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Francis T. Palo Primary Examiner Art Unit 3644

Franci T. Palo

Page 6